DISTRICT JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN

Case No. C11-3232 EMC

Case Name: Fredianelli v. Jenkins, et al.

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 1

Date 10 21 13

Time 12:15 P.M.



1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

PLAINTIFF CLAIMS THAT APPLIED. \$ 127,000

OF EQUIPMENT COSTS PHT WAS PATO FOR

BY 3EBTOURING FAIC AND THAT THIS

COST IS SHOWN IN EXHIBIT 371.

WHAT PAGE OF THE EXHIBIT PROVIDES

THE DETAIL OF THIS EXPENSE.

Answer to Jury Note #1

The \$127,000 figure in your Question #1 appears to be related to the figure of \$127,768.36 which appears on the first page of Exhibit 371, the Balance Sheet of 3EB Touring, Inc. dated December 31, 2008. That number is the accumulated depreciation for the cumulative purchases of Computer Equipment, Musical Equipment, and Staging previously purchased by 3EB Touring, Inc., including purchases made prior to 2008. These categories are tied to account numbers 1625, 1645 and 1650 which appear on pages 30-31 of the Ledger Report portion of Exhibit # 371. The accounts appear in the Ledger Report for other years as well.

DISTRICT JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN

Case No. C11-3232 EMC

Case Name: Fredianelli v. Jenkins, et al.

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 2

Date 10 | 21 | 13

NOATHERN DISTRICT OF CAUSE ON THE CAUSE OF CAUSE OF CAUSE ON THE CAUSE ON THE CAUSE OF CAUSE ON THE CAUSE ON THE CAUSE OF CAUSE ON THE CAUSE OF CAUSE ON THE CAUSE OF CAUSE OF CAUSE ON THE CA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

WAS 3EBTOURING INC, THIND EYE BUJD, INC OF STEPHAN JENKING PRODUCTIONS, INC PEIMBURSED. FOR LEGAL FEES BY INSURANCE FOLLOWING THE EPLIC GODTLAND LITIGATION? IF SO, WHAT WAS THE VALUE OF THE REIMBURSEMENT? THE JURY SEEKS CLAPIFICATION ON INSURANCE PROCESS, IF ANY, RELATED TO LEGAL EXPENSES FEES NOT SETTLEMENT PROCESS, IF AN ALL

Answer to Note #2

There is no evidence in the record that any of the legal expenses/fees incurred in the Godtland litigation were reimbursed by insurance.

DISTRICT JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN

Case No. C11-3232 EMC

Case Name: Fredianelli v. Jenkins, et al.

NOTE FROM THE JURY

RECEIVED

OCT 2 7 2013

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Note No. 3

Date 10 2(13)

Time 3: 55

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

What services did Another Planet Entertain ment provide?

Is this company, Another Planet Entertainment related to

Third EyeBlind Inc, 3EB Touring, or Stephen Jenkins Productions?

Or just Stephen Jenkins?

Answer to Note #3

There is no evidence in the record as to what services Another Planet Entertainment provided.

Another Planet Entertainment is not related in any ownership relationship to Third Eye Blind Inc, 3EB Touring, Stephen Jenkins Productions, or Stephan Jenkins.

DISTRICT JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN

Case No. C11-3232 EMC

Case Name: Fredianelli v. Jenkins, et al.

NOTE FROM THE JURY

RECEIVED

Note No. 4

Date 10/21/13

Time 4.08

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

There was testimony given
that Tony is smed royal bies.

Do we consider awarding
royal bies as damage.

Jor example, on line, youtube,
altised sound exchange. I ask there
questions because 3rd Eye Blind
continues to coilect Checks for this.

We are in dispitte about paying royalties

Answer to Note #4

Plaintiff is not seeking in this case royalties for online, You-Tube or SoundExchange.

DISTRICT JUDGE EDWARD M. CHEN

Case No. C11-3232 EMC

Case Name: Fredianelli v. Jenkins, et al.

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 5

Date 10/21/13

Time 4: 45

RECEIVED

OCT 2 1 2013

RICHARD W. WIEKING
RORTHERN DISTRICT COURT

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question: